

$1/Q^2$ TERMS AND LANDAU SINGULARITY*

Georges GRUNBERG

*Centre de Physique Théorique de l'Ecole Polytechnique[†]
91128 Palaiseau Cedex - France*

ABSTRACT

Standard power-behaved contributions in QCD arising from non-perturbative effects at low scale can be described, as shown by Dokshitzer, Marchesini and Webber, with the notion of an infrared regular effective coupling. In their approach, a non-perturbative contribution to the coupling, essentially restricted to low scales, parametrizes the non-perturbative power corrections. I argue that their framework naturally allows for another type of power contributions, arising from short distances (hence unrelated to renormalons and the operator product expansion) which appear in the process of removing the Landau singularity present in perturbation theory. A natural definition of an infrared finite perturbative coupling is suggested within the dispersive method. Implications for the tau hadronic width, where $\mathcal{O}(1/Q^2)$ contributions can be generated, are pointed out.

CPTH/PC 510.0597

May 1997

hep-ph/yy mm nnn

*Talk presented at the Moriond Conference "QCD and High Energy Hadronic Interactions", Les Arcs, France, March 22-29, 1997.

[†]CNRS UPRA 0014

The study of power corrections in QCD has been the subject of active investigations in recent years. Their importance for a precise determination of α_s has been recognized, and various techniques (renormalons, finite gluon mass, dispersive approach) have been devised to deal with situations where the standard operator product expansion (OPE) does not apply. In this talk (which is a summary of¹⁾), I focuss on the dispersive approach²⁾, based on the notion of an infrared (IR) regular³⁾ QCD coupling, where a non-perturbative contribution to the coupling, essentially restricted to low scales, parametrizes the power corrections. I point out that within this framework, it is very natural to expect the existence of new type of power contributions *of ultraviolet (UV) origin, hence not controlled by the OPE*, related to the removal of the IR Landau singularity presumably present in the perturbative part of the coupling.

Consider the contribution to an Euclidean (quark dominated) observable arising from dressed single gluon virtual exchange, which takes the generic form (after subtraction of the Born term):

$$D(Q^2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \alpha_s(k^2) \varphi\left(\frac{k^2}{Q^2}\right) \quad (1)$$

The “physical” coupling $\alpha_s(k^2)$ is assumed to be IR regular, and thus must differ from the perturbative coupling $\alpha_s^{PT}(k^2)$ by a “power correction” piece $\delta\alpha_s(k^2)$. To determine the various types of power contributions, it is appropriate to disentangle long from short distances “a la SVZ” with an IR cutoff Λ_I :

$$D(Q^2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \alpha_s^{PT}(k^2) \varphi\left(\frac{k^2}{Q^2}\right) + \int_0^{\Lambda_I^2} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \delta\alpha_s(k^2) \varphi\left(\frac{k^2}{Q^2}\right) + \int_{\Lambda_I^2}^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \delta\alpha_s(k^2) \varphi\left(\frac{k^2}{Q^2}\right) \quad (2)$$

The first integral on the right hand side of eq.(2) may be identified to the Borel sum $D_{PT}(Q^2)$ of perturbation theory. The second integral gives “long distance” power corrections which correspond to the standard OPE “condensates”⁴⁾. If the Feynman diagram kernel $\varphi\left(\frac{k^2}{Q^2}\right)$ is $\mathcal{O}((k^2/Q^2)^n)$ at small k^2 , this piece contributes an $\mathcal{O}((\Lambda^2/Q^2)^n)$ correction from a dimension n condensate. The last integral in eq.(2) yields at large Q^2 new power contributions of short distance origin, unrelated to the OPE. If the short distance power corrections are neglected³⁾ (i.e. if one assumes that $\delta\alpha_s(k^2)$ is sufficiently small at large k^2), one recovers the standard view⁵⁾ that the first correction to the Borel sum is given by the OPE. To determine whether this is the case, one needs a closer look at $\delta\alpha_s(k^2)$. One may define:

$$\delta\alpha_s(k^2) = \delta\alpha_s^{PT}(k^2) + \delta\alpha_s^{NP}(k^2) \quad (3)$$

where $\delta\alpha_s^{NP}$ represents a “physical”, “genuinely non-perturbative” component, which one can assume²⁾ to be restricted to low k^2 : in accordance with the OPE ideology

of⁴⁾, it induces an $\mathcal{O}((\Lambda^2/Q^2)^n)$ power correction of IR origin, consistent with the OPE, parametrized with the low energy moment:

$$K_n^{NP} \equiv \int_0^\infty n \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \left(\frac{k^2}{\Lambda^2} \right)^n \delta\alpha_s^{NP}(k^2) \quad (4)$$

(where I extended Λ_I to infinity, since the integral is dominated by low k^2). On the other hand, the $\delta\alpha_s^{PT}$ piece is “unphysical”, its role being to remove the Landau pole in α_s^{PT} , and has no a priori reason to be restricted to low k^2 ; generically (see below) one expects for large k^2 :

$$\delta\alpha_s^{PT}(k^2) \simeq b_{PT} \frac{\Lambda^2}{k^2} \quad (5)$$

Besides an (ambiguous) $\mathcal{O}((\Lambda^2/Q^2)^n)$ power correction of IR origin, parametrized with the low energy moment:

$$K_n^{PT} \equiv \int_0^{\Lambda_I^2} n \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \left(\frac{k^2}{\Lambda^2} \right)^n \delta\alpha_s^{PT}(k^2) \quad (6)$$

this piece will induce an (unambiguous) short distance $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda^2/Q^2)$ correction, unrelated to the OPE, from the last integral in eq.(2). In particular, the range $Q^2 < k^2 < \infty$ contributes:

$$\int_{Q^2}^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \delta\alpha_s^{PT}(k^2) \varphi\left(\frac{k^2}{Q^2}\right) \simeq A b_{PT} \frac{\Lambda^2}{Q^2} \quad (7)$$

where $A \equiv \int_{Q^2}^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \frac{Q^2}{k^2} \varphi\left(\frac{k^2}{Q^2}\right)$ is a number. For instance, the simplest “minimal” regularization of the one loop coupling:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{s,reg}^{PT}(k^2) &\equiv \frac{1}{\beta_0 \ln(k^2/\Lambda^2)} - \frac{1}{\beta_0} \frac{1}{\frac{k^2}{\Lambda^2} - 1} \\ &\equiv \alpha_s^{PT}(k^2) + \delta\alpha_s^{PT}(k^2) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

gives $b_{PT} = -1/\beta_0$. This example has the interesting feature that the time-like discontinuity of the regularized coupling coincides with that of the perturbative coupling, and suggests a general ansatz (which has actually been suggested long ago in QED, and has been recently revived⁶⁾ in QCD):

$$\alpha_{s,reg}^{PT}(k^2) = k^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu^2}{(\mu^2 + k^2)^2} \alpha_{eff}^{PT}(\mu^2) \quad (9)$$

where the perturbative “effective coupling” $\alpha_{eff}^{PT}(\mu^2)$ is related to the “spectral density” of the perturbative coupling $\rho_{PT}(\mu^2) \equiv -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \{ \alpha_s^{PT}[-(\mu^2 + i\epsilon)] - \alpha_s^{PT}[-(\mu^2 - i\epsilon)] \}$ by:

$$\frac{d\alpha_{eff}^{PT}}{d\ln\mu^2} = \rho_{PT}(\mu^2) \quad (10)$$

The same dispersion relation was assumed in²⁾ for the *total* coupling

$$\alpha_s = \alpha_{s,reg}^{PT} + \delta\alpha_s^{NP} \quad (11)$$

i.e.:

$$\alpha_s(k^2) = k^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu^2}{(\mu^2 + k^2)^2} \alpha_{eff}(\mu^2) \quad (12)$$

where $\alpha_{eff}(\mu^2)$ is related to the discontinuity of α_s by eq.(10). Putting:

$$\alpha_{eff}(\mu^2) = \alpha_{eff}^{PT}(\mu^2) + \delta\alpha_{eff}^{NP}(\mu^2) \quad (13)$$

it follows that the “non-perturbative modification” satisfies also:

$$\delta\alpha_s^{NP}(k^2) = k^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu^2}{(\mu^2 + k^2)^2} \delta\alpha_{eff}^{NP}(\mu^2) \quad (14)$$

Contrary to $\alpha_s^{PT}(k^2)$, $\alpha_{eff}^{PT}(\mu^2)$ in eq.(9) is likely to be IR finite, which explains⁷⁾ that $\alpha_{s,reg}^{PT}(k^2)$ differs from $\alpha_s^{PT}(k^2)$ by power corrections. In the one-loop coupling example, one has:

$$\alpha_{eff}^{PT}(\mu^2) = \frac{1}{\pi\beta_0} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) \right] \quad (15)$$

which is indeed IR finite⁵⁾:

$$\alpha_{eff}^{PT}(\mu^2 = 0) = \frac{1}{\beta_0} = \alpha_{s,reg}^{PT}(k^2 = 0) \quad (16)$$

Corresponding to the split eq.(11), one can distinguish in $D(Q^2)$ a “regularized perturbation theory” piece:

$$D_{reg}^{PT}(Q^2) \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \alpha_{s,reg}^{PT}(k^2) \varphi \left(\frac{k^2}{Q^2} \right) \quad (17)$$

and a “non-perturbative” power correction piece:

$$\delta D_{NP}(Q^2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \delta\alpha_s^{NP}(k^2) \varphi \left(\frac{k^2}{Q^2} \right) \quad (18)$$

Note that D_{reg}^{PT} differs from the Borel sum D_{PT} by “perturbative” power corrections:

$$\delta D_{PT}(Q^2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \delta\alpha_s^{PT}(k^2) \varphi \left(\frac{k^2}{Q^2} \right) \quad (19)$$

and it is an important issue whether it is possible to disentangle these two types of power corrections.

For Minkowskian observables, it is necessary to introduce, instead of eq.(1), a representation in term of α_{eff} :

$$D(Q^2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2} \alpha_{eff}(\mu^2) \dot{\mathcal{F}}\left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right) \quad (20)$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the “characteristic function”²⁾, i.e. the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ Feynman diagram computed with a finite gluon mass⁵⁾ μ^2 , and $\dot{\mathcal{F}} \equiv -d\mathcal{F}/d\ln\mu^2$. D_{reg}^{PT} is directly related to α_{eff}^{PT} by:

$$D_{reg}^{PT}(Q^2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2} \alpha_{eff}^{PT}(\mu^2) \dot{\mathcal{F}}\left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right) \quad (21)$$

Concerning the “perturbative ” power corrections, I quote the following result for an *analytic* small μ^2 behavior of $\mathcal{F}(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2})$ (in which case the power correction can be shown to be of short distance origin). If:

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right) - \mathcal{F}(0) \simeq -d \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} \quad (\mu^2 \ll Q^2) \quad (22)$$

then:

$$\delta D_{PT}(Q^2) \simeq b_{PT} d \frac{\Lambda^2}{Q^2} \quad (Q^2 \gg \Lambda^2) \quad (23)$$

However, b_{PT} is difficult to calculate, since it depends on the α_s beta-function to *all orders* (similarly to IR renormalons residues).

As an application, consider the hadronic width of the τ lepton. It is usually expressed in term of the quantity R_τ , itself related to the total e^+e^- annihilation cross-section into hadrons $R_{e^+e^-}$ by:

$$R_\tau(m_\tau^2) = 2 \int_0^{m_\tau^2} \frac{ds}{m_\tau^2} \left(1 - \frac{s}{m_\tau^2}\right)^2 \left(1 + 2\frac{s}{m_\tau^2}\right) R_{e^+e^-}(s) \quad (24)$$

In the small μ^2 limit, one finds²⁾ for the corresponding characteristic function:

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau\left(\frac{\mu^2}{m_\tau^2}\right) - \mathcal{F}_\tau(0) \simeq -d_\tau \frac{\mu^2}{m_\tau^2} \quad (25)$$

with:

$$d_\tau = \frac{16}{3\pi}(4 - 3\zeta(3)) \quad (26)$$

which implies a leading $1/m_\tau^2$ power correction of *UV origin*:

$$\delta R_\tau^{PT}(m_\tau^2) \simeq b_{PT} d_\tau \frac{\Lambda^2}{m_\tau^2} \quad (27)$$

For a numerical estimate, assume the “large β_0 ” value $b_{PT} = -1/\beta_0$, and take: $\Lambda = \Lambda_V = 2.3\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ to be the Landau pole of the “V-scheme”⁵⁾ coupling. Then (for 3 flavors):

$$\delta R_\tau^{PT}(m_\tau^2) \simeq -0.934 \frac{\Lambda_V^2}{m_\tau^2} \quad (28)$$

which gives, assuming e.g. $\alpha_s^{\overline{MS}}(m_\tau^2) = 0.32$: $\delta R_\tau^{PT}(m_\tau^2) \simeq -0.063$. One thus gets a sizable correction with respect to the (principal-value) Borel sum estimate⁵⁾ (still in the large β_0 limit): $R_\tau(m_\tau^2) - 1 \simeq 0.227$, or to the experimental value:

$R_\tau(m_\tau^2) - 1 \simeq 0.20$ (R_τ is normalized as $R_\tau = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} + \dots$). This result shows that $1/m_\tau^2$ terms could be at the same level as radiative corrections in τ decay (where standard power contributions of IR origin are estimated to be very small!). Note also that a corresponding $1/Q^2$ power correction is absent from $R_{e^+e^-}^{PT}(Q^2)$ (for which the leading power correction (of UV origin) is only²⁾ $\mathcal{O}(1/Q^4)$).

In conclusion, the removal of the Landau singularity is likely to induce power corrections of UV origin (hence unrelated to, thus not inconsistent with, the OPE), which a priori should be of similar size as higher order radiative corrections. An important issue is to assess whether these corrections will modify in a significant way the standard IR power contributions phenomenology. As a first guess, one might expect them to be relevant in processes where many orders of perturbation theory should be taken into account, such as inclusive τ decay, or to handle the “perturbative tail” of the gluon condensate on the lattice.

Similar remarks have recently been put forward by R. Akhoury and V.I. Zakharov (hep-ph/9705318).

References

- 1) G. Grunberg, hep-ph/9705290.
- 2) Yu.L. Dokshitzer, G. Marchesini and B.R. Webber, *Nucl. Phys.* **B469** (1996) 93.
- 3) Yu.L. Dokshitzer and B.R. Webber, *Phys.Lett.* **B352** (1995) 451.
- 4) M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B147** (1979) 385.
- 5) P. Ball, M. Beneke and V.M. Braun, *Nucl. Phys.* **B452** (1995) 563.
- 6) D.V. Shirkov and I.L. Solovtsov, hep-ph/9704333; A.I. Alekseev and B.A. Arbuzov, hep-ph/9704228 and references therein.
- 7) G. Grunberg, *Phys.Lett.* **B372** (1996) 121; *ibid.* hep-ph/9608375; Yu.L. Dokshitzer and N.G. Uraltsev, *Phys.Lett.* **B380** (1996) 141.

